



Technology and Construction Solicitors' Association



Halliburton v Chubb: What does it really mean for construction adjudication and arbitration?

Date: Tuesday 19th January 2021

Time: 6.30pm

Venue: Online (Zoom)

Following the Supreme Court's long awaited judgment in *Halliburton v Chubb*, the SCL and TeCSA are holding an online panel debate on what it really means for construction adjudication and arbitration.

The panel will debate a variety of topics such as whether the legal duty to disclose applies to adjudicators as well as arbitrators, whether appointing bodies need to do more to ensure that they do not appoint an arbitrator or adjudicator where there might be something that would lead the fair-minded and informed observer to conclude that there was a real possibility of bias, what enquiries arbitrators and adjudicators need to make to check whether there are any conflicts, and what matters arbitrators and adjudicators need to disclose to comply with their legal obligations.

The debate will be chaired by Jonathan Pawlowski, Chair of the SCL and Partner and Head of Construction at Collyer Bristow, and moderated by Hamish Lal, Vice-Chair of the SCL and Partner at Akin Gump. The panel comprises:

- HH Frances Kirkham CBE, international arbitrator at Atkin Chambers and TeCSA panel adjudicator
- Neil Kitchener QC, barrister at One Essex Court and part of the team that represented Halliburton in the Supreme Court
- Caroline Pope, partner at DLA Piper and Chair of TeCSA
- Jonathan Cope, adjudicator and arbitrator at MCMS and TeCSA panel adjudicator

To book please follow this [link](#).